

## **REMARKS**

Responsive to the Office action of March 14, 2007, reconsideration of the application is requested in view of the amendments to the claims and the remarks presented herein.


The claims in the application are claims 14 to 25, all other claims being cancelled.

The Examiner has required a 14 way restriction as set out on pages 2 and 3 of the Office action on the ground that the compounds of groups I to VII are patentably distinct. Groups VIII to XIV will be rejoined with the elected compound claims when found allowable. The Examiner has also required an election of species.

Applicants elect compounds of group I wherein A is a substituted phenyl and the claims have been limited thereto. With respect to the election of species, Applicants elect with traverse the compound of Example 57. It is believed that all the elected compounds should be examined together since they are not patentably distinct.

Since the first Office action was merely a restriction requirement, Applicants request a prompt examination on the merits.

Respectfully submitted,  
Hedman and Costigan

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Charles A. Muserlian, 19,683  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Tel. # (212) 302 8989

CAM:mlp  
Enclosure